OR UTILITY/DESIGN OF CIP/PCT NATIONAL/PLANT ORIGINAL/SUBSTITUTE/SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION

Ū

Ш m

RULE 63 (37 C.F.R. 1.63) DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT A CATTON IN THE UNITED STATES PATE OF THE PATENTAL PROPERTY OF THE PATENTY OF THE PAT

PM & S FORM

below) of the s	subject matte N. WAVELEN	r which is i	claimed and for which FERING and MULT	th a patent is so	ce address and citizens below) or an original, fi ught on the INVENTION AMBDA SWITCHING (rut and joint	tated below no t inventor (if plu D IN-BAND M	ext to my name aral names are AVELENGTH	e. and I 9 listed
X Ä	. ⊠ is attach	ed hereto.	1 ICHECK applicable	POX(E3))	AMBOA SWITCHING (MPAS)			
	B. □ wa:				as U.S. Application No.	. /			
	DIe to U.S. or	s filed as F	CT International cation) was amende	Analiaasia-	No. PCT/ /		on		
I nereby state in:	al I have review	hau hae hav	lections the englance of	Cabo - book - Laborate	ied specification, including	tha alaime			
Application which oertificate, or PC the application of	enefits under 3: h designated at T International n which priority	5 U.S.C. 119 least one of Application, is claimed,	9(a)-(d) or 385(b) of an other country than the U	y foreign application	led specification, including al to patentability as defined no(s) for patent or inventor d balow and have also iden e subject matter claimed in ng date of this application;	s centificate, diffied below s	or 365(3) of any	s noted below,) PCT Internation	hereby daim al
PRIOR FOREI					Date first Laid-	Date	e Patented		
MUITOUT	Cou	<u>ntry</u>	Day/MONTH	<u> Year Filed</u>	open or Publish		or Granted	Priority NO	T Claimed
PCT international application is in a	pelow, I nareby Bepplications lie addition to that o	emob miclo sevode best in besolvell	BUCh noor applications	ler 35 U.S.C. 119(i	B) or 120 and/or 365(c) of t and (CIP) application, incofe	on the and	ber matter discip	sag and claime	in this
application:			made october the unit	g date of each suc	an prior application and the	national or P	CT international	filing date of this	3
PRIOK U.S. PR Application No	OVISIONAL Legeries cod	NONPRO	OVISIONAL AND/OI	R PCT APPLICA		Status	nod, patented	Priority NO	Claimed
60/231,577					2 2017411				
			11/9/00			pendir	ng		
Section 1001 of Ti And I hereby apport to prosess them to be sepresented Paul N. Kokulis Raymond F. Lipp 3. Lloyd Knight Kevin E. Joyce Seorge M. Sirila Creter W. Gowden Dale S. Lazar 1) INVENTOR'S Residence	itle 18 of the Ur int Pillsbury Mis (202) 961-300 cute this applic delete names/r ttomsy/irm/ or uniess/until i in 16 pitt 17 20 18 25 y 25 28 S SIGNATUR Winston	adlson & Sulu (10 whom alion and to humbers belong since the struct the at 1773 Pt 1519 Gt 1508 Gt 150	Code and that such will tro LLP, Intellectual Pro all communications are transact all business ir ow of persons no longe who which first sends/s/s pove Firm and/or a percaul E. White, Jr. lenn J. Perry andrew H. Colton . Paul Edgell /rnn E. Eccleston mothy J. Klima will A. Jakuphi ark G. Paulson	perty Group, 1100 to be directed), an the Patent and Tor with their firm an ent this case to the bw attorney in writi 32011 28458 30368 24238 35861 34852 32995 30793	Stephen C. Glazier Ruth N. Morduch Richard H. Zeitlen Roger R. Wise MIchael R. Dzwonczyk W. Patrick Bengtsson Jack S. Barufka Adam R. Hess Date WAY	with the application of the application of the applications from an areby declare 31361 31044 27248 31204 36787 32456 3/US/ 41835	by fine or imprisiplication or any pleast Tower Warne address) individual of with the rosult of communicate that I have considered with the Robin L. Telegraphy of the Robin L. Telegraphy Name	onment, or both watent issued the chington D.C. 2: industry and colling petent, and it directly with the ented after full of Atkins arer	, under ereon. nons-3918, ectively my l hereby
nclude Zip Cnd	e)		618	J					
2) INVENTOR'S	SIGNATUR	E:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Date):			
		First		Middle Initial			amily Name		
esidence				TARGOTE (THUS)		<u></u>	amily Name		
		City			State/Foreign Country		Corre	ry of Citizenship	
lailing Address									
ndude Zip Cod X" box ☐ FC ☐ See additio	OR ADDITI	WALL	VENTORS, and] d proceed on page (incorpo	the attached page prated herein by ref Atty	to list ea erence). Dkt No	ch additiona PM971364 (M#	<u> </u>	
626 177		ian o (9.20%					ρ	AT-1:6 12/00

Rule 56(2) & (b) < 37 C.F.R. 1.56(a) (b) TENT AND TRADEMARK CASES - RULE PRACTICE DUTY OF DISCLOSURE

(a) ...Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the [Patent and Trademark] Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability...(b) information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative and (1) It also establishes by itself, or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim or (2) refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability reliced on by the Office, or (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability

PATENT LAWS 35 U.S.C.

§102. Conditions for patentability; novelty and loss of right to patent

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
- (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months* before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

§103. Condition for patentability; non-obvious subject matter

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. . . .
- (c) Subject matter developed by another person, which qualified as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

^{*} Six months for Design Applications (35 U.S.C. 172).